

Our Ref: SW/BR

28 November 2022

Report 399709 Rev. 1

Page: 1 of 4


Camira Fabrics Limited
Meltham Mills
Meltham
Huddersfield
West Yorkshire
HD9 4AY

Contact: Luke Russell

DATE RECEIVED : 18 NOV 2022
 QUALITY/REFERENCE : MANILA
 REPUTED FIBRE CONTENT : NOT GIVEN
 FABRIC DESCRIPTION : KNITTED
 COLOUR/DESIGN : CAMBRIC
 STYLE NUMBER : 509403
 ORDER NUMBER : 83A20023

PERFORMANCE STANDARD : NOT SPECIFIED

TEST PERFORMANCE DATE(S) : 28/11/2022



REPORT SUMMARY

Tests	Method	Pass	Fail	Requirement
Resistance to Abrasion	BS EN ISO 5470-2:2003 Martindale Abrasion			No requirement



S. WISEMAN
LABORATORY DIRECTOR

28 November 2022

Report 399709 Rev. 1**Page: 2 of 4**

This report may not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of HSTTS. In all circumstances results of tests are implied as referring only to the sample supplied and should not be construed or interpreted on any other basis. The comments given in the report are for guidance only and are not a part of the results. Where specified in a test method, preconditioning in accordance with ISO 139 is not carried out as samples are exposed to the conditioning atmosphere specified within ISO 139 for a minimum of 16 hours prior to test.

Conformity statements for tests marked ‡ are subject to the application of the decision rules set out in Annex A of this report and information on the measurement uncertainty for the relevant test(s) is provided within this test report.

28 November 2022

Report 399709 Rev. 1**Page: 3 of 4****PHYSICAL****BS EN ISO 5470-2:2003 Martindale Abrasion ***

12 kPa load.

Number of rubs to breakdown:
Head 1 100,000+
Head 2 100,000+
Head 3 100,000+
Head 4 100,000+
Shade change after 3,000 rubs: Grade 4-5

Requirement:

No requirement

Sample abraded against standard worsted abradant as per BS EN ISO 12947-1

*Tests indicated * are non-UKAS accredited.*

28 November 2022

Report 399709 Rev. 1
Page: 4 of 4
ANNEX A: DECISION RULES

In accordance with the requirements of BS EN ISO 17025:2017 it is necessary for the decision rules applied to each test carried out to be agreed with the customer and reported. The following decision rules have been applied by default unless stated to the contrary in this test report.

Rule 1	<p>Applicable to any requirement stated to be 'Minimum xxxx' or 'Maximum xxxx' or stated to be a range (e.g. XXX to YYY or AAA ± B):</p> <p>The use of constrained simple acceptance based on the difference between a stated limit (requirement) and the reported test result being greater than the measurement uncertainty (U) for a conformity probability of 95%. The risk of false accept or false reject is 2.5%</p>
Rule 2	<p>For tests based on subjective grading of a result using a 9-point scale (e.g. colour fastness, pilling, etc):</p> <p>Simple acceptance based on the test uncertainty ratio (T.U.R.) being <4. The risk of false accept or false reject is up to 50% but will be reduced the further the reported result is away from the stated requirement.</p>
Rule 3	<p>For tests based on a subjective assessment of a property (e.g. whether a component detaches or not):</p> <p>Simple acceptance based upon the conditions of testing falling within the criteria for test set out in the test method within a conformance probability of 95%. The risk of false accept or false reject of the testing conditions not meeting the specified requirements is 2.5%.</p>
Rule 4	<p>If a validated test method (e.g. BS EN ISO standard) indicates that the measurement uncertainty has already been taken into account when calculating the test result then results may be reported using simple acceptance without the need for the application of the relevant decision rule set out above.</p>

Any decision rule proposed by the client must satisfy the requirements of ISO 17025:2017 to include consideration of the measurement uncertainty and has been included within the test report. The company is obliged to refuse to apply decision rules that do not satisfy the requirements of BS EN ISO 17025:2017.